

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/608,726 | 06/27/2003 | Tsung-Ein Tsai | ITL.0877US (P15106) | 6928 | | |
| 7. | 590 08/08/2005 | • | EXAMINER | | | |
| Timothy N. Trop | | WONG, | WONG, ERIC K | | | |
| TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| 8554 KATY FWY | | | 2883 | | | |
| HOUSTON, T | X 77024-1841 | | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005 | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | и |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/608,726 | TSAI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Eric Wong | 2883 | |

| Advisory Action | 10/608,726 | ISALET AL. | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Eric Wong | 2883 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLY WAS FILED | J WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will not be entered I | because | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • • | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | . (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ient canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence | <u>iot</u> be entered is necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: Examiner does not believe arguments clearly place the case in condition for allowance. | | | | | | |
| | | Frank G. Font | | | | |
| | Sunan | vicent Patent Evaminer | | | | |

Technology Center 2800